

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCK ET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/817,981	03/27/2001	Walid S. Ibrahim Ali	US 010013	9871
24737 759	90 11/16/2004		EXAM	INER
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EDWARDS, PATRICK L	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2621	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/817,981	ALI ET AL.	
narioory notion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick L Edwards	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2004 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	to avoid abandonment of thi er: (1) a timely filed amendm Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to ent which places the application	o a on in
PERIOD FOI	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire land ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than threarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding amortened statutory period for reply originates	ount of the fee. The appropriate extensionally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	on fee under s set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3)			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see N	lote below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applica issues for appeal; and/or	tion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesion in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.	·		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_•		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.	

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

This after final amendment will not be entered because it adds an additional limitation, ('an algorithm capable of optimizing a control parameter setting of a video processing algorithm, without regard to prior information of the video processing algorithm'), which would require further search and consideration.

LEO BOUDREAU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600